



AIM in the News

page 2



**The Big Loser
in Wednesday
Night's GOP
Debate: The
Media**

page 3



**Liberal Media
Dealt a Body
Blow by Rubio
and Cruz at GOP
Debate**

page 6

AIM REPORT

**For Fairness, Balance
and Accuracy in News
Reporting**

2015 | XLIV-18

The Vindication of Reed Irvine

By Cliff Kincaid

When Reed Irvine started Accuracy in Media, he was hoping that the public would begin to question the sources of news and information. His mission to educate the public about liberal media bias has been so successful that, as Mike Flynn notes in a perceptive piece at Breitbart, candidates like Donald Trump and Ben Carson surge when they are attacked by the media. Many regard media attacks as proof that the candidate is saying something that is politically incorrect and therefore makes political sense.

At the National Press Club, Carson said, "I'll tell you right off the bat, before I go any further, I'm not politically correct. I will not be politically correct. And that's one of the reasons that a lot of the people in the press don't like me. But it's okay, because you know, what I really love is this country. I don't necessarily care whether the press likes me or not. And therefore, I'm not going to conform to all their little requirements."


He went on, "... there is only one business in America that is protected by the Constitution. And that is the press. And there was a reason for that. It was because the press was supposed to be an ally of the people. And they were supposed to expose and inform the people in a nonpartisan way. When they become partisan, which they are, they distort the system as it was supposed to work. And they allow the side that they pick to get away with all kinds of things."

Gallup recently found that trust in the media remains at an all-time low. The percentage of Republicans who trust the media was at only 32 percent.

The media have been losing credibility on a consistent basis. Yet, the erroneous stories keep on coming, and the mass media continue to drift even further left.

It's difficult to believe journalism could get worse than it is now. But Breitbart reporter Brandon Darby notes that the Los Angeles Times described the recent black Oregon killer at Umpqua Community College as supporting "white-supremacist causes."

The problem for the news media and those who claim to distribute news and information goes beyond a lack of trust. They have political agendas which are becoming apparent to news consumers, who lose faith and are turned off. That's why



people applaud a figure like Trump or Carson when they mock or criticize the media.

A new film came out on October 16 that incredibly carries the title "Truth," taking the side of former CBS newsman Dan Rather who used fake documents to smear President George W. Bush in the 2004 presidential campaign. Robert Redford plays Rather.

It is as if the truth doesn't matter, and that Redford can sell a lie to the American people in place of the truth.

The film "Trumbo" also opened recently in theaters and tells the story of "alleged" communist associations by a Hollywood screenwriter who was supposedly unfairly blacklisted from working. In fact, Dalton Trumbo was a Stalinist Communist and Hitler apologist.

Some news media don't seem to care if they are perceived as biased. For example, MSNBC aired a "global citizen" music festival to entice young people into the pro-U.N. cause.

President Obama was given sympathetic coverage for expressing outrage over the Oregon massacre, though the media were slow

continued on page 3

AIM

in the News

AIM, as readers of this newsletter are aware, has been a frequent critic of the Russian-owned TV news network, RT, which stands for **Russia Today**. It is a propaganda instrument of the **Vladimir Putin** regime. Recently RT, in an article on its website, took aim at **AIM** and **Cliff Kincaid**, the Director of **AIM's Center for Investigative Journalism**. Here are some excerpts:

"It is customary for **RT's** most enthusiastic critics to at least feign a veneer of objectivity. Even when foaming at the mouth to land a blow, they'll dress it up as concern for something or other. Then there's **Cliff Kincaid**. **Kincaid** doesn't bother with such niceties."

"One of **Reagan's** most famous quotes was when he called the Soviet Union an 'evil empire.' **Kincaid** uses the same term to describe modern Russia. Evidently all irony is lost on him, considering that the term 'empire' could be far more accurately applied to a country that has its military personnel in more than 100 other nations."

"**AIM** remains **Cliff's** principal focus. Founded in 1969, **AIM**, has exposed genuine conflicts of interests and wrongdoing. On the other hand, with its die-hard hawkish stance, **AIM** has bullied some people out of employment."

AIMREPORT

A twice-monthly newsletter published by Accuracy in Media, Inc.

Editor: Roger Aronoff

4350 East West Highway #555
Bethesda, MD 20814
202-364-4401 | www.aim.org

Editor's Message



Dear Fellow Media Watchdogs:

The most striking aspect of the media coverage of the presidential primaries is the complete double standard by which Republicans and Democrats are judged. The media spent days trying to prove that Dr. Ben Carson was lying in his book when he wrote of his troubled and violent youth, before he turned 13 and decided to turn his life around. The irony is that most candidates would seek to bury that part of their personal narrative. Was he offered a full scholarship, as he said, to West Point? Not in the sense that he applied for one, or was accepted, but he claims to have been told that, as the leading ROTC student in Detroit, Michigan. He told Charlie Rose on his PBS show that "I was offered full scholarship to West Point..." He was, however, offered and accepted a scholarship to attend Yale, and went on to become, as described on the Johns Hopkins website, "Director of Pediatric Neurosurgery at the Johns Hopkins Children's Center, a position he has held since 1984 when he was 33 years old. He [was] a professor of neurosurgery, oncology, plastic surgery, and pediatrics." That's a pretty solid resumé by anyone's standard.

How do the supposed lies of Dr. Carson compare to Barack Obama's lies that are indisputable, in both small matters and large? If you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor. Your healthcare costs will go down by \$2,500 per family. No wonder they were able to pass it, though it was only done through partisan politics and parliamentary chicanery. There were lies about the IRS scandal, and in the end, no indictment of Lois Lerner, who headed up the division of the IRS that examined the Tea Party and other applications for tax-exempt status. There were lies about when Obama found out about the IRS targeting of conservative groups. Lies upon lies in his "autobiography," *Dreams from My Father*, as documented by his sympathetic biographer, David Maraniss. There were lies about the nuclear deal with Iran, and about the deal in which we traded five high-ranking Taliban terrorists for the deserter, Bowe Bergdahl.

Hillary has lied about everything from coming under sniper fire in Bosnia, to Benghazi, to her handling of classified material on her email server, to her role in the flow of arms to known al-Qaeda related groups in Libya. If you go back to the Bill Clinton presidency, you can include her lies about Travelgate, Filegate and Whitewater billing records. Too many to list here. And she is the presumptive nominee for the Democrats in 2016, who most of the media and most Democrats seem to support.

The point is that the scrutiny that Republican candidates get for their supposed lies or exaggerations is many times greater, and treated by the media as much more damaging to the candidates than the known and much more significant lies of the current Democratic President, and the presumptive next Democratic nominee. This is our very corrupt media at work. As we have argued here for more than four decades, even the changing media landscape does not alter the agenda of the liberal media. •

For Accuracy in Media

Roger Aronoff

Your Letters

To the Editor:

Great writings of truth, Don, Roger, Cliff, in the legacy of Reed Irvine. Need another pilgrimage to the AIM office for inspiration and to give you a copy of my new, short, book, which has evidence of Obama's dangerous policies.

Allen Brodsky

Please send Letters to the Editor to:

Accuracy in Media
Attn: Letters to the Editor
4350 East West Highway #555
Bethesda, MD 20814
or email to info@aim.org

Please keep your submissions to 50 words or less. Letters may be edited for length.

to cover how his administration shipped guns to Mexico which were later used to killed U.S. border agent Brian Terry in the Fast and Furious scandal. The guns were also linked to several other crimes.

Administration arms smuggling in the Middle East backfired on Hillary Clinton's watch as secretary of state when four Americans were killed in Benghazi. Yet our media insist that Clinton came out of the recent Benghazi hearings looking better. If anything, these hearings demonstrated not only that Mrs. Clinton failed to act to save the lives of those four Americans, but that she lied in blaming the deaths on a YouTube video.

Sharyl Attkisson was essentially forced out of CBS News for being too tough on the Obama administration. Fortunately, she now has her own show, "Full Measure," being aired nationally on stations owned or affiliated with the Sinclair Broadcast Group.

In terms of media bias that is acceptable and even celebrated, it is noteworthy that Jeffrey Toobin, the senior legal analyst for CNN and staff writer at The New Yorker, is being honored with an award by the National Lesbian & Gay Journalists Association (NLGJA) on November 19 in Washington, D.C. A description of the event says that Toobin, through "sharp

Administration arms smuggling in the Middle East backfired on Hillary Clinton's watch as secretary of state when four Americans were killed in Benghazi.

analysis and keen insight," has "used his platform to educate viewers about the legal rationale for fair and equal treatment of LGBT Americans and, in doing so, has helped create the political landscape that allowed recent LGBT court victories to be widely understood by the American

public."

Translated into common sense language, this means that Toobin has been pro-gay in his coverage and helped sell the public on the homosexual agenda.

The event in honor of Toobin is being co-sponsored by Comcast/NBCUniversal and CBS News. It means that although reporters sometimes talk about one another as "rivals" in the media business, they are really on the same liberal team.

The public is not fooled.

As Carson noted in his National Press Club speech, "...the good thing is that a lot of the people in America are onto them [the media] and understand what they're trying to do. And that's one of the reasons we're doing well. And it seems like the more they attack me, the better we do..."*

Cliff Kincaid is the Director of the AIM Center for Investigative Journalism, and can be contacted at cliff.kincaid@aim.org

The Big Loser in the GOP's CNBC Debate: The Media

By Roger Aronoff

While the media focused on which of the candidates did well, and which didn't, they overlooked the biggest loser of the night: the media. Whether intended or not, the difference in the questioning of the Democrats in their October 13 debate versus the questioning of the Republicans in their three debates so far proved to be an issue that boiled over in the CNBC debate. The Republican candidates decided to expose the obvious double standard by which the two party's candidates are questioned, and punch back.

Yet The Washington Post listed winners and losers, without mentioning the media. Same for an article on the CNBC website. But for those of us offended by the media's kid-glove treatment of Hillary Clinton and the Democrats during their first debate, it was refreshing to see some of the Republicans taking their gloves off when it came to pointing out the media's obvious bias.

Until the Democratic debate on CNN took place, many viewers still had the idea that the media were neutral players in the presidential race. But there were no questions about the many conflicts of interest between Mrs. Clinton's actions as secretary of state and her family's Clinton Foundation, which we have extensively cited. Nor

were there questions about her handling, or mishandling, of classified materials on her unsecured, private server which she used for all of her emails during her four years as secretary of state.

Here were a few of the highlights aimed at the media bias against the GOP.

CNBC's John Harwood asked this sarcastic question of Republican front-runner Donald Trump:

HARWOOD: Mr. Trump, you've done very well in this campaign so far by promising to build a wall and make another country pay for it. Send 11 million people out of the country. Cut taxes \$10 trillion without increasing the deficit. And make Americans better off because your greatness would replace the stupidity and incompetence of others. Let's be honest. Is this a comic book version of a presidential campaign?

Trump was not amused.

Carl Quintanilla aimed a gotcha question at Sen. Ted Cruz:

QUINTANILLA: Senator Cruz. Congressional Republicans, Democrats and the White House are about to strike a compromise that would raise the debt limit, prevent a government shutdown and calm financial markets that fear of —another Washington-created crisis is on the way. Does your opposition to it show

that you're not the kind of problem-solver American voters want?

Cruz responded with perhaps the most applauded line of the evening:

CRUZ: You know, let me say something at the outset. The questions that have been asked so far in this debate illustrate why the American people don't trust the media. (APPLAUSE) This is not a cage match. And, you look at the questions—"Donald Trump, are you a comic-book villain?" "Ben Carson, can you do math?" "John Kasich, will you insult two people over here?" "Marco Rubio, why don't you resign?" "Jeb Bush, why have your numbers fallen?"

How about talking about the substantive issues the people care about? And Carl—Carl, I'm not finished yet. The contrast with the Democratic debate, where every fawning question from the media was, "Which of you is more handsome and wise?" And let me be clear.

QUINTANILLA: So, this is a question about (inaudible), which you have 30 seconds left to answer, should you choose to do so.

CRUZ: Let me be clear. The men and women on this stage have more ideas, more experience, more common sense than every participant in the Democratic debate. That debate reflected a debate between the Bolsheviks and the Mensheviks. And

continued on page 4



caused by Al Qaida-like elements." She spent over a week telling the families of those victims and the American people that it was because of a video. And yet the mainstream media is going around saying it was the greatest week in Hillary Clinton's campaign.

nobody watching at home believes that any of the moderators had any intention of voting in a Republican primary. The questions that are being asked shouldn't be trying to get people to tear into each other. It should be, 'what are your substantive positions...'

Sen. Marco Rubio also took aim at the media:

RUBIO: OK. I know the Democrats have the ultimate Super Pac. It's called the mainstream media who every single day... and I'll tell you why. Last week, Hillary Clinton went before a committee. She admitted she had sent e-mails to her family saying, "Hey, this attack at Benghazi was

It was the week she got exposed as a liar. It was the week that she got exposed as a liar...But she has her Super Pac helping her out, the American mainstream media.

QUINTANILLA: So when the Sun-Sentinel says Rubio should resign, not rip us off, when they say Floridians sent you to Washington to do a job, when they say you act like you hate your job, do you?

RUBIO: Let me say, I read that editorial today with a great amusement. It's actually evidence of the bias that exists in the American media today.

QUINTANILLA: Well, do you hate your job?

RUBIO: Let me—let me answer your question on the Sun-Sentinel editorial to-

day. Back in 2004, one of my predecessors to the Senate by the name of Bob Graham, a Democrat, ran for president missing over 30 percent of his votes. I don't recall them calling for his resignation.

QUINTANILLA: Is that the standard?

RUBIO: Later that year, in 2004, John Kerry ran for president missing close to 60 to 70 percent of his votes. I don't recall the Sun—in fact, the Sun-Sentinel endorsed him. In 2008, Barack Obama missed 60 or 70 percent of his votes, and the same newspaper endorsed him again. So this is another example of the double standard that exists in this country between the mainstream media and the conservative movement.

Instead of cowering in fear of the media, it appears that a number of the GOP candidates are fighting back, and calling them out. It wasn't the first time, but it felt like a turning point. It's about time. •

Roger Aronoff is the Editor of Accuracy in Media, and a member of the Citizens' Commission on Benghazi. He can be contacted at roger.aronoff@aim.org.

The Obama Machine Takes Over Canada

By Cliff Kincaid

In typically biased fashion, Time magazine greeted the defeat of Canada's conservatives with the headline, "Why Justin Trudeau's Election Is Good News for the Fight Against Climate Change." But the story has a valid point which bears on the question of why Obama campaign operatives were deployed to subvert the conservative government of Stephen Harper. Obama expects new Prime Minister Justin Trudeau to help promote a climate change treaty to overthrow global capitalism that is expected to come out of a United Nations conference in Paris in December.

Canada has become a satellite of Obama, writes Judi McLeod of Canada Free Press.

But another inevitable outcome of the liberal victory may be more Islamic terrorism in North America. The new Canadian prime minister has extended open arms to Muslims in Canada and more immigration from the Middle East while declaring the need to withdraw from the fight against

Islamic terrorism.

A pro-abortion Catholic, Trudeau wants to legalize marijuana and establish more injection sites for heroin users around the country.

If you hadn't heard about Obama's campaign to destroy Canada's conservative government, you missed our story from last week, "Obama Plans Takedown of Another U.S. Ally."

The destruction of Harper wasn't as bloody as the Obama/Hillary Clinton effort to overthrow Moammar Gadhafi in Libya, which resulted in the Benghazi murders of four Americans. Nevertheless, the overthrow of the Harper government had the fingerprints of Obama all over it.

Judi McLeod of Canada Free Press, one of my key sources in last week's article, summed it up in a story with the headline, "Obama Campaign Team Hands Canada Over to the Lib-Left." She notes, "When word first leaked out that key Obama campaign workers had joined the Trudeau election campaign, the mainstream media

continued to look the other way."

But there's no reason for conservatives in the U.S. media or Congress to look the other way.

Commenting on misleading polls that underestimated the liberal tide, McLeod said, "What happened was similar to the 2012 Barack Obama victory over Republican Mitt Romney, with a poll-portrayed nail-biter election that turned into an 11th hour landslide for Obama."

This is a warning for conservatives in the U.S. who think the pendulum is swinging back to them in 2016. Four years ago many of the top commentators at Fox News and Salem Radio thought Romney was a sure winner. Their faulty predictions have not blunted their drive to be "experts" again this time around. Many of them have already missed the significance of the Donald Trump phenomenon and its staying power.

On the left, the progressive machine is on a roll, from Britain, where the Labor Party elected a Marxist as its head, to

the United States, where Senator Bernie Sanders (I-VT) is enticing what many considered smart young people with promises of free taxpayer-financed college educations.

Canada is the latest evidence that conservatives who think history is on their side are in for a rude awakening.

Writing at the conservative Canadian website TheRebel.media, Hamish Marshall notes that the get-out-the-vote effort by the Liberal Party and the labor unions supporting Trudeau was impressive. Marshall wrote, "What defeated the Conservatives more than the loss of votes, or the collapse of the NDP [New Democratic Party, the third major party in the race] to the Liberals was the huge number of new voters. Turnout will be in the range of 69%, with 3 million more votes cast than in 2011. The vast majority of those new voters supported the Liberals."

The situation is bleak in Canada, probably worse than many conservatives would care to admit. The battles over abortion-on-demand and homosexual rights are basically over in Canada, while they continue in the U.S. On social issues, Harper was credited by Canadian conservatives with slowing down the movement for euthanasia. He fought a national day care scheme with tax credits for parents. But that's been about it.

Giving up on the Conservative Party, some Canadians created the Christian Heritage Party (CHP) to compete and wage a real political battle for traditional values. It is a minor political party that didn't do very well in the October 19 elections.

The reason, according to Peter Vogel of the Christian Heritage Party, is that Canada has already been fundamentally transformed. "We had a 'conservative' government that was not socially conservative because Canada is not socially conservative, and now we have a truly liberal government because our society is liberal," he said. It is a sad fact. Like much of Europe, Canada has abandoned its Judeo-Christian roots.

Vogel is right: the Harper conservatives were afraid to be bold. They operated as if cultural transformation was a fact of life they could not really change. The Conservative Party had a platform that was vague and based on staying the course, mostly economically.



In tough language, Vogel went on to say, "We elected a government that will make matters worse for the unborn, and other vulnerable citizens, if that is possible. They will open our borders to erase Canada's Christian heritage. (How many churches did Mr. Trudeau visit compared to the number of mosques he visited?) It is a government that is committed to impoverishing Canadians by increasing taxes (such as the 'Carbon Tax' and continuing to run a deficit). It is a government that will not fight terrorism in the regions from which it comes, but will allow terrorists to come to Canada. Then we will have to fight them as they radicalize our youth, blow-up our buildings, and kill our citizens."

The comment about Trudeau visiting more mosques than churches is significant. This political fact has dramatic implications.

The CHP says that over 50 terrorist organizations are currently active in Canada and that the Canadian refugee and immigration system "is inadequate to secure the nation from the threats posed by terrorism."

Of course, the United States is also threatened by this problem, which is bound to get worse under Trudeau. Perhaps Scott Walker's reported off-hand comment about possibly erecting a wall between the U.S. and Canada now makes sense. (Walker did not specifically advocate a wall along our northern border but acknowledged that law enforcement authorities had discussed a possible terrorist threat from Canada).

A viral video posted on but not produced by TheRebel.media was a stark

warning about Trudeau's involvement in Muslim causes. Indeed, it showed a picture of Trudeau reciting the Muslim convert's "shahada" prayer during a visit to a mosque.

Ezra Levant of TheRebel.media wrote at the Toronto Sun that Trudeau wore a full Arab-style Muslim dress called a jalabiya to the mosque in B.C. called the Surrey Jamia Masjid. Levant explained, "But it's not Trudeau's dress that was the most unusual. It's what he did. He went to the mosque to participate in a religious ritual, called the Maghrib salah. It's a daily prayer service with a particular meaning. Part of the prayer is called the shahada, which in Arabic means to testify. As in, to testify to the Truth that Allah is the one true God, and Mohammed is his one true Prophet. To say the shahada, in the presence of two or more Muslim males, is the sole requirement in Islamic law to convert to Islam."

He added, "I don't believe Trudeau is Muslim. I don't believe he is anything other than what his handlers and costume designers put in his hands before each public event. And in Trudeau's case, his senior policy adviser just happens to be Omar Alghabra, the Saudi-born former president of the Canadian Arab Federation."

Trudeau opposes the fight against the Islamic State. The Washington Post and other media are now reporting that Trudeau "would make good on a campaign promise to withdraw Canada's jets from the U.S.-led bombing campaign against the Islamic State in Iraq and Syria." This would seem to be a break with Obama's policy, except for the fact that Obama's heart was never in the fight to begin with. Hence, Russia's Vladimir Putin has stepped in to the void deliberately created by the U.S. President.

U.S. Congressional leaders in the U.S. seem preoccupied with whom to elect as the new Speaker of the House, as well as Benghazi. Those are important matters. But it might make some sense, in terms of oversight of the Obama administration, to launch an inquiry into American political interference in the Canadian elections.

What does possible House Speaker Paul Ryan (R-WI) think about that? Some conservatives want to know.♦

Cliff Kincaid is the Director of the AIM Center for Investigative Journalism, and can be contacted at cliff.kincaid@aim.org

Meet the Press' Chuck Todd Asks Rep. Elijah Cummings Why Dems Shielded Hillary Clinton During Benghazi Hearings

By Don Irvine



NBC's *Meet the Press* host Chuck Todd questioned ranking Benghazi Select Committee member Elijah Cummings (D-MD) as to why the Democrats chose to "shield" Hillary Clinton during her testimony last week rather than challenging the former secretary of state:

Todd: "We tallied up 68 total questions to Secretary Clinton [from Democrats] 16 of them, at best, could we call challenging. Why did you guys choose a strategy of shield rather than a strategy of, really what Tammy Duckworth did, probably the one Democrat that did it the most, of conducting a hearing, asking questions about the security situation?"

Cummings: "From the very beginning, Chuck, I said we were looking for the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and in many instances, we found ourselves having to not defend Secretary Clinton but to make sure the record was complete, and I'm glad that the public had an opportunity to see all of that. When you look at what we were asking about, they were the things that went to Benghazi, the things that we were supposed to be dealing with from the very beginning."

Cummings also defended Clinton when Todd asked about the email she sent to her daughter Chelsea the night of the attack in which she blamed it on an "Al Qaeda-like group." Three days later Mrs. Clinton blamed it on "an awful internet video," but Cummings told Todd that it didn't merit further inquiry because the information was "fluid."

Even before House Majority Leader Kevin McCarthy's inaccurate statement

about the Benghazi committee sent the Democrats and the liberal media into a tizzy, it was clear that the Democrats were going to only hurl softballs at Clinton during her testimony and protect the Democratic presidential front-runner from inflicting any further damage on her campaign if they could, rather than do the job voters elected them to do.

Liberal Media Dealt a Body Blow by Rubio and Cruz at GOP Debate

By Don Irvine

Political pundits will continue to analyze and opine on which candidates won and lost in CNBC's Republican debate in Boulder, Colo., but the biggest loser of the night were the liberal media, which were slammed by both Sen. Marco Rubio (R-FL) and Sen. Ted Cruz (R-TX), much to the delight of the audience in attendance and conservatives on social media.

The debate, which began with a question about what the candidates' biggest weakness was and quickly devolved into an attack fest on each other, turned around after Cruz went on the offensive by questioning the moderators' motives:

"The questions that have been asked so far in this debate illustrate why the American people don't trust the media.

"This is not a cage match. And if you look at the questions: 'Donald Trump, are you a comic book villain?' 'Ben Carson, can you do math?' 'John Kasich, will you insult two people over here?' 'Marco Rubio, why don't you resign?' 'Jeb Bush, why have your numbers fallen?'

"How about talking about the substantive issues?"

"The contrast with the Democratic debate, where every fawning question from the media was, 'Which of you is more handsome and wise?' And let me be clear—"Let me be clear. The men and women on this stage have more ideas, more experience, more common sense than every participant in the Democratic debate. That debate reflected a debate between the Bolsheviks and the Mensheviks.

"And nobody watching at home believes that any of the moderators have any intention of voting in a Republican primary. The questions that are being asked shouldn't be trying to get people to tear into each other."

Rubio chimed in later with his take on the liberal media, with what may have been the best sound bite of the evening:

"The Democrats have the ultimate Super PAC; it's called the mainstream media."

Meet the Press host Chuck Todd didn't think much of the attacks.

CNN's Brooke Baldwin Calls Out "The View" for Treatment of Fiorina

By Don Irvine

CNN anchor Brooke Baldwin criticized the hosts of ABC's *The View* for joking about the physical appearance of Republican presidential candidate Carly Fiorina, saying she looked "demented" and was wearing a "Halloween" mask.

Baldwin said those remarks angered her as both a journalist and a woman, and that the hosts had gone too far.

"I love *The View*. I have been a long-time Whoopi fan. I think Joy Behar is one of the funniest people on TV, but ladies, come on. Double standard much? First people jump on Trump for his comments in *Rolling Stone* about Fiorina's face. He followed up at the debate saying she was 'beautiful.' Which, by the way a lot of ladies didn't like either. Why do we have to talk about her looks? Because she's a woman?"

Baldwin went on to say that "we can do better," and then showed a clip from *The View* where Joy Behar was asking Donald Trump about his comments about Fiorina's face, to which he said that he was referring to her persona. Behar then asked, "if that's the case, why don't you talk about her brains and not her face?"

Double standard indeed, but the attack on Fiorina is a new low, even for the liberal hosts of *The View*.

What You Can Do

Please send the enclosed postcards to:

- Chris Hayes of MSNBC for his comments on whether or not there is a liberal bias in the mainstream news media;
- Dean Baquet, editor of *The New York Times*, on his comments as to whether or not the *Times* is liberal;
- Andrea Mitchell of MSNBC to commend her for asking questions challenging Hillary Clinton's credibility.