UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ROGER HALL, et al.,

:

Plaintiffs,

:

v.

C. A. No. 04-0814 (HHK/JMF)

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY,

:

Defendant

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO MOVE FOR RECONSIDERATION OF MAGISTRATE'S RULING

Come now plaintiffs Roger Hall and Studies Solutions Results, Inc. moves this Court for an extension of time, to and including March 31, 2008, to move for reconsideration of Magistrate Judge Facciola's March 10, 2008 ruling on defendant's motion to strike. As grounds for their motions, said plaintiffs represent to the Court as follows:

- 1. Under the rules, plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration is due March 24, 2008.
- 2. Plaintiffs' are currently working on a motion for partial reconsideration of the Magistrate's ruling. This entails, among other things, a review of voluminous materials by plaintiff Roger Hall, who suffers from high blood pressure and other medical problems which require that he take care not to overexert himself. Additionally, plaintiffs' counsel ally, plaintiffs' undersigned counsel, while he has been wroking on this case, is also involved in researching and writing briefs to be filed on March 24, 2008, in Summers v. Department of Justice, D.C. Cir. No. 07-5313, and on

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ROGER HALL :

Plaintiff,

v. : C. A. No. 04-0814 (HHK/JMF)

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY,

Defendant :

ORDER

Upon consideration of plaintiffs' unopposed motion to extend their time to move for reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge's March 10, 2008 ruling on defendant's motion to strike, and the entire record herein, it is by the Court this _____ day of March, 2008, hereby,

ORDERED, that plaintiffs shall file their motion for reconsideration on or before March 31, 2008.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

March 28, 2008, in John Davis v. Department of Justice, C. A. No. 88-0130, and Gail Billington v. U.S. Department of Justice, C. A. No. 9200462. (An informal settlement of the attorney's fees issue in the latter case has been reached by the parties, but a procedural hang-up over how payment is to be effectuated in light of the recent Openness in Government Act is said to be delaying formal settlement. The undersigned counsel remains edgy about this, and believes he may end up having to brief the attorney fees issues despite the informal settlement agreement).

- 3. Plaintiff Accuracy in Media has advised the undersigned counsel that they join in this motion.
 - 4. Defendant does not oppose this motion.
- 5. The parties have agreed that within five days of this Court's decision on the motion for partial reconsideration they will submit a proposed schedule for briefing the remaining issues in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

James H. LESAR #114413

1003 K Street, N.W.

Suite 640

Washington, D.C. 20001 Phone: (202) 393-1921

Counsel for Plaintiffs Roger Hall and SSRI