

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ROGER HALL, et al.,	:	
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Civil Action No. 04-0814 (HHK)
	:	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE	:	
AGENCY	:	
	:	
Defendant	:	

2011 DECLARATION OF ROGER HALL

I, Roger Hall, declare and say as follows:

1. In order to illustrate deficiencies in the search conducted by the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) or raise questions about the propriety of withholding all of the information that has been redacted, I am setting forth a number of attachments which represent records released by the CIA in this litigation.

2. Attachment 1, C00465411 regards the transfer of two American pilots held captive in Laos to Vietnam. It is dated June 25, 1968. It refers to a pilot killing three North Vietnamese. A note in the margin refers to

“Hrdlicka” and file number 0084-1-01/2 OF 4. This indicates the existence of POWs and provides a file number in which pertinent information may be contained. No additional information has been provided. The CIA has not indicated that it performed any search of this file or made any search regarding the referenced POWs.

3. Attachment 2 is CO0465433, subject, “Pathet Lao Transfer of All American Prisoners from Laos to North Vietnam,” DOI: December 1968. This report indicates that there should be records preceding it which refer to the transfer of these prisoners. The CIA has not indicated that it performed any search relevant to this information. The significance of this document is that no prisoners held or captured by the Pathet Lao were ever released.

4. Attachment 3 is C00465434, subject, “Frustrations of Soviet and Polish representatives in Discussing the American Prisoner Question with the North Vietnamese and Pathet Lao.” DOI 9 July 1969. Records regarding these discussions have not been provided.

5. Attachment 4 is C00465439, subject, “Air America Pilots Who Are Prisoners of War in Sam Neua.” This document states in paragraph 4 that “it is possible that there are three Americans being held in Sam Neua, however, there is only one Air American crewman unaccounted for.” This indicates that there must be other documents which report this information. They

have not been provided. In addition, Attachment 5 (C00465F719) is a Report on the 1969 Pathet Lao POW camps; Attachment 6 (C0046726) is a report on Enemy Prisons in Laos by George Carver; and Attachment 7 (C00466045), Enemy Prisons in Laos; are reports on American POWs held by the Pathet Lao which fail to reflect the information indicated in Attachment 4. The CIA has not provided these reports for the years 1973-1975.

6. Attachment 8 is C00465737, subject, "IAS Work Related to American POW camps in North Vietnam, 25 Nov. 1970." The CIA has not explained what is meant by "IAS." Whatever is meant by it—and this information should be provided by the CIA either voluntarily or on discovery—this document indicates that IAS has relevant information on POWs which has not been provided. This document refers to imagery and photography of POW camps which have not been provided, even though this information is within the scope of my request. Imagery from this era has been declassified. In view of this, it should not be withheld under any exemption claim. Furthermore, later imagery, in the 1980s era, from satellite platforms, was released in declassified form; for example "Walking K" and color enhanced versions of the Walking K were declassified by the Senate Select Committee on POW/MIA Affairs in 1992-1993. Paragraph 3 indicates that someone requested a model of Son Tay prison, which was

completed on 18 August, 1970. This is part of briefing board information which I have previously called attention to. Revised Hall Decl., ¶ 6.

7. Attachment 9 is C00465996, subject, "Preliminary Debriefing Site for Captured U.S. Pilots in Vinh Phu Province and Presence of Soviet and Communist Chinese Personnel at the Site," DOI June 1967. I provided a similar document with the same information in a previous declaration, including source information which has now been redacted, even though it was not redacted in the original document. See Revised Hall Declaration (June 2, 2008), ¶ 19.

8. Attachment 10 is C00473353, a report by Theodore G. Shackley, Deputy Director for Operations, concerning Americans who reportedly were unable to leave South Vietnam before the communist takeover. The record in this case does not reflect that the CIA conducted any search for the captives referred to in this document or for any other relevant documents.

9. Attachment 11 is a composite of C00472501, C00473353, C00473358, and C00473360. These documents also refer to POW/MIAs/Captives/Detainees for which relevant documents have not been provided because the CIA has not searched for them.

10. Attachment 12 is C00574, a document which refers to a crash of an Air Vietnam flight in 1975 from Vientianne, Laos to Saigon, Vietnam. Again, no information has been provided that indicates any search for records regarding those on this flight, whether dead or alive.

11. Attachment 13 C00472095, subject: JCIA Draft Agreement on Exchanges of Soldiers, Military Personnel Captured, and Detained During the War, and on Acquiring Information on Those Missing During the War, dated 12 July, 1974. The CIA has not identified what "JCIA" means. This information should be provided to me either by the CIA voluntarily or by discovery. It is clear, however, that whatever "JCIA" means, the CIA has not shown that it conducted searches for other relevant documents. The first and second pages of this document deleted a substantial passage per Exemptions 1 and 3 under the heading of "Summary." Since most of this 13-page document has been released, it is incomprehensible how the entire summary can be redacted. There must be some segregable nonexempt portions. Page 2, paragraph number 1, fourth line from the bottom, refers to "troops of American military personnel." The CIA has not indicated any search regarding records pertinent to these military personnel or to the reference on page 2 to negotiations between the LPF and the Royal Laotian government and U.S. representatives concerning negotiations about lists of

POW/MIAs, and military and other civilian personnel who died during the time they were contained. There should be other records relating to these negotiations.

12. Attachment 14 is C00465312, subject: Death of an American pilot Held Prisoner in Houa Phan Province, Laos, 28 Aug. 1967. This refers to a pilot who died of diarrhea. No POW has ever been returned that died in prison. The veterans and families of all POWS wanted their remains returned and identified. It is important to them because the remains of family members and the identification of them is U.S. policy. POW family members, even though quite elderly and ill, even if they no longer believe that their family member is still living, cling to the hope that he or she will be identified before they die.

13. Attachment 15 is C00482214, dated 14 Nov. 1980, from [redacted] to the Director of the CIA [redacted]. It refers to a group of American pilots constructing a road. This document refers the need to be given to "positively confirming the existence of POWs with [redacted], a list of names, and the exact location." This indicates the intention to create additional documents regarding these requirements. The CIA has not searched for, located or produced such documents.

14. Attachment 16 is C00482218, a December 2, 1980 memorandum for the DIA from the Deputy Director of Operations that is entirely withheld under Exemption 3 as relating to intelligence methods. This document refers to a document or file dated 26 August 1980. The referenced document or file has not been searched for or provided.

15. Attachment 17 is C00482222, dated 18 Dec. 1980 from [redacted] to the Director [Exemptions 1 and 3]. Like Attachment 15, this document refers to POWs working on road construction. While Attachment 15 refers to the location of the work crew, Attachment 17 inconsistently redacts the locality. Yet both documents appear to be part of a superset of such documents because they are written in the exact same form and bear handwritten numbers in the bottom corner which seem to indicate that they were part of a much larger file which was numbered in reverse chronological order. This raises questions about how this file was searched and how it was determined that only these parts of the file were responsive to my request.

16. Attachment 18 is C00482817, dated 21 May 1981. It appears to be part of the same file as the Attachments 15 and 17. It indicates it is a Preliminary Report” but no further reports appear to have been searched for or provided. In addition, it is unclear how this document was selected as responsive to my request because it does not appear to contain any of the

search terms (such as POWs/MIAs, etc.) which the CIA used to conduct its searches. The evidence seems to indicate that all records in this file are relevant to my request, but only a small portion of them is provided. The handwritten numbers in the lower left-hand corner of these documents seems to indicate the file totals nearly 800 pages, only a fraction of which have been provided me.

17. Attachment 19 is C00482286, dated 7 Jan. 1981, is from the CIA's National Foreign Assessment Center, Office of Imagery Analysis, subject, "Detention Center East of Takhet, Laos. This document pertains to imagery of this detention camp. Specifically, it recites that number "52" appears at one site on the ground. Paragraph 9 states that "[e]nclosed are graphics showing details of the camp and the apparent numerals." However, no imagery or "graphics" have been provided me. Additionally, the document recites that the number "52" appears at one site on the ground, but "what appears to be another reference to this number" is excised. This inconsistent processing needs to be rectified by restoring the number that has been redacted, whether that number is the same as "52" or not.

18. Attachment 20 is C00472505. The Subject refers to "CIA Tasking on two POWs in Cambodia." I have not been provided with any documents leading up to the tasking or to any documents leading up to it.

19. Attachment 21 is C00474120. It concerns POWs in Vietnam who renounced their citizenship. I have not been provided with any other documents relevant to this.

20. Attachment 22 is C00478876. This, dated March 1985 refers to fifty "LPW/MIA. "LPW" would appear to stand for "Laotian Prisoners of War." If so, this would be a search term which the CIA appears not to have used in the searches it has so far conducted.

21. Attachment 23 is C00479076, dated 28 Aug 1984. It refers to the DIA (Defense Intelligence Agency) having queried other government entities, whose identities have been redacted, to determine their views, and having been advised that "operations [content redacted] are feasible. . . ." The CIA has not provided evidence that it searched for or located the referenced queries. A following passage in the document indicates the possibility that the CIA was planning other such missions but an extensive section which might provide information about this has been redacted. Whether or not the excision is warranted, and I contend that it is not, a question arises as to whether the redacted provision contains any information which would indicate the possible existence of other relevant records not searched for or supplied.

22. Attachment 24 C00479111, dated 11/2/85, is a handwritten note which refers to a “telephone evaluation to [redacted] at CIA” and indicates that a “circle search” should be performed. I have received no information indicating that the CIA has searched for or located any documents relating to the “telephone evaluation” or to the “circle search” that is directed. The term “circle search” is unfamiliar to me. The CIA should explain what it means. Additionally, there is no indication that the CIA has ever used this term in searching for records responsive to my request.

23. Attachment 25 is C00480047, undated, which concerns the alleged presence of U.S. Prisoners of War in a Ministry of Interior Detention Center South of Hanoi. The CIA has not indicated that there are no other records that pertain to this detention.

24. Attachment 26 is C00483014, dated 12 Mar 1982, subject: Alleged Soviet Incarceration of US Vietnam Prisoners of War (DOI 1970).

25. Attachment 27 is C00492378, a letter to Bill LeGro from Philip Caster, Senate CIA liaison to the Senate Select Committee, stating that attached is “Unredacted copy of the FIR.” It states at the bottom that there is an attachment, but the CIA fails to state that it has searched for or located the attachment.

25. Attachment 28 is C00492397, which reports that “several unusual markings—the letters “USA” and what resembled a “Walking Kilo”—were observed “on the ground west of Sam Neua, Laos.” Clearly this refers to imagery that was taken of this site. The CIA either has not searched for, located and provided such imagery or is withholding it pursuant to an exemption claim. In 1991-1992, the CIA provided the Senate Select Committee on POW/MIA Affairs with colorized enhanced imager of the “Walking Kilo.” Imagery from many platforms has already been declassified as early as the 1990s. Withholding of such imagery today is inconsistent with the prior disclosure of such imagery and inconsistent with President Obama’s Openness policy.

26. Attachment 29 is C00492401, in which the CIA reports on the 1986 relocation of 226 American POWs. The CIA has not shown that it searched for, located or accounted for records pertaining to the relocation. The second numbered paragraph at the right-hand column of the page states that a redacted person is reported to have “pledged to rescue the POWS when opportunity permitted...” The CIA has not shown that it searched for, located or provided any records relating to such plans.

27. Attachment 30 is C00492403, which concerns American POW living in Laos. It is from the CIA to the DIA. It concerns information from

the Director General of the Lao People's Democratic Republic about a POW who married a Lao woman. Paragraph 1 states that "this information was to be passed to Stony Beach and JCRC." Stony Beach is the DIA's overt POW investigation unit. "JCRC" stands for Joint Casualty Resolution Center."

The CIA has not indicated that it conducted a search for the records created as a result of this information being passed to these entities.

28. Attachment 31 is C00492406, 9 July 1976, refers to a briefing of Commander Robert Burroughs on 24 June 1976 regarding POWs at a prison camp in North Vietnam. He reported on the presence of a white pattern on a roof in the compound which the CIA does not indicate that it has searched for, located or produced this imagery. The document further reports that the information provided by Commander Burroughs "has been confirmed through discussions with former POWs. The CIA has not stated that it searched for, located and produced records relating to these discussions.

29. Attachment 32 is C00492454. This document refers to images of POW sites which apparently have not been provided because the CIA has not searched for, located, or produced it, or because it is claiming it is exempt from disclosure.

30. Attachment 33 is C00492459, which states that the CIA has identified 116 intelligence reports at on the policies of the Vietnamese and

Lao Governments on the POW issue. The CIA has not identified these reports as having been searched for or released as a result of this litigation.

31. Attachment 34, C00492461, is a CIA letter to the Senate Select Committee on POW/MIA Affairs which refers to an assessment that shows “surface markings found near Ban Nampo, Laos. References are also made to an enclosure. It also refers to “an unclassified version” of the assessment and an enclosure which consists of a “line drawing with the classified graphics enclosed.” The CIA does not indicate that the enclosure has been provided, or that it has searched for or located the referenced imagery.

32. Attachment 35 is C00492546, a letter from the CIA’s Deputy Director for Senate Affairs (whose name has been redacted) to the Senate Select Committee. In the first paragraph, the CIA redacts on Exemption 1 and 3 grounds the identity of a location at the CIA where the Senate Committee thought relevant files would be located. The withholding of this location is unjustifiable. The second paragraph indicates that certain files were hand-searched. The CIA has not indicated either that it has conducted this search for this case or that it has located and produced the records that were located in the search it conducted at the behest of the Senate Committee. The third paragraph refers to two classified documents which were originated by the CIA, a December 1982 assessment of the Lao

resistance, and an October 1991 report relating to “[redacted’s] views on normalizing relations with the United States.” The CIA has not indicated that these reports have been searched for, located or produced. The redacted information in this document has been withheld under exemptions 1 and 3. There is no classification marking indicating that this information was properly classified under the current or prior executive orders. A “Secret” marking at the bottom of the document has been crossed through. The document indicates that there are enclosures, but the CIA does not indicate that these have been provided. At the bottom of the document there is a statement “Downgrade to UNCLASSIFIED when Separated From Enclosures.” Yet the CIA is still withholding information pursuant to Exemption 1.

33. Attachment 36 is C0478688 references a document (or documents) that has not been provided. The CIA has not indicated that it conducted a search for the referenced document or documents.

34. Attachment 37 is C00478741, apparently dated 10/8/84. It is a handwritten note regarding information to be placed in apparently unprovided records on POWs whose names have been redacted, including obtaining or creating a “case file” on one of them and the placement of in-

formation on another in a “dog tag background file.” The CIA has failed to indicate that it searched for, located, or produced these other records.

35. Attachment 38 is C00478689. Seven pages of this eight page document contain redactions but no exemption claims are asserted. In addition, the only alleged classification marking on any of the pages is the Word “SECRET,” and this has been crossed out. All of the withheld information on pages 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 must be released. In addition, page two contains redactions of the identities of the governmental entities hostile to the United States which were negotiating with the United States over the POW issue. There is no sane basis for withholding this information under the Freedom of Information Act. The negotiations involved an agreement, subsequently withdrawn, concerning return of the remains of three POWs. These POWs need to be identified and records pertaining to them searched for and released.

36. Attachments 39 and 40 are C00483014 and C00492507. These are two different copies of the same document contained in the same batch of documents provided me in 2010. The processing of these documents is inconsistent, however. Indeed, the CIA has blanked out the heading of the Attachment 40 version of the document and several lines of information at the top of the document without indicating that any exemption is being

claimed. It is impossible to tell from this version of the document that any information has been withheld. Yet comparison with Attachment 39 makes it clear that this information was withheld. Not only was it withheld, but a crossed out "SECRET" stamp was then placed on it. Attachment 39 indicates that this report is classified "CONFIDENTIAL" followed by a redaction, but that information is deleted from the Attachment 40 version without any indication of an exemption claim. Similarly, Attachment 39 indicates a redaction next to "References," but Attachment 40 does not. And Attachment 39 indicates that several lines have been deleted after "Source:", whereas Attachment 40 does not. In paragraph number 1 at the bottom of the first page, Attachment 40 deletes "Headquarters" from "Headquarters Comment" that appears in Attachment 39. Also missing from Attachment 40 is a "CONFIDENTIAL" stamp which appears at the bottom, partially obscured by what appears to be the equivalent of a "post-it" note paperclipped to the bottom of the first page. Attachment 40 does not indicate the existence of the note, and the largely illegible note that was affixed to Attachment 39 is only partially reproduced, so the note is in effect a missing document not provided by the CIA. In addition, it is noted that the CONFIDENTIAL stamp at the bottom of page one of Attachment 39 is not only not reproduced at the bottom of page one of Attachment 40 but is

contradicted by the "Secret" stamp which appears at the top of the blanked out portion at the top of Attachment 40.

37. Attachment 41 is C00493146. This document is a CIA document that was distributed to at least five different government entities, including the NSA and the White House Situation Room. There is no current classification stamp on this document, and those classification markings which do appear are crossed out and it is not clear who or when or pursuant to what authority they were applied in the first place. Paragraphs 3 and 4 of the document have several lines withheld under the heading "Comment." It seems unlikely that "comment," which implies an evaluation, does not contain segregable material.

38. Attachment 42 is C00493093. This is a 1987 document that reports on a POW in Laos having escaped and been recaptured. Although it provides much identifying information about him, it redacts his name. No cogent explanation of any justification has been given for the Exemption 1 and 3 claims the CIA asserts. There is no current classification marking displayed on this document other than the crossed out word "Secret."

39. Attachment 43 is C00492525. Although dated October 23, 1991, this document refers to information regarding the Korean War which is more than half a century old. The required current classification markings are not

contained on the document. The redacted information includes the name and Communist Party country from which the referenced medical doctor came. The CIA does not state whether or not he is deceased. This is a relevant consideration as to both its Exemption 1 and Exemption 3 claims.

40. Attachment 44 C00492526 is a CIA letter to the Chief Counsel of the Senate Select Committee regarding the crash of an Air America C-123 plane in Laos in March 1973. The CIA encloses materials pertaining to two crewmen who were apparently killed in the crash. The CIA has asserted Exemptions 3 and 6 for the names of these persons. However, there is no privacy claim available because the evidence is that they are deceased. The CIA has also not justified the application of Exemption 3 to deceased persons nor explained how they can be considered sources of information under the circumstances. Regardless of whether the exemption claims are viable, this document refers to enclosures which the CIA has not indicated it searched for, located or provided.

41. Attachment 45 is C00471978. Paragraph No. 2 has a blank space which does not indicate that any material has been withheld, although it is immediately followed by a blanked out box which shows that material has been withheld. Thus, the CIA has avoided its responsibility for providing me and the Court with a clear indication of what has been withheld.

Attachment 45 indicates that there was a prior report, which has not been provided, and the portion of Paragraph 2 that is blanked out without any exemption claim (or evidence that a redaction is being made) withholds information that was in the earlier, unprovided, report.

42. Attachment 46 is C00472096. This document refers pre-crash and crash site photographs which have not been provided. The document indicates that the original was returned to Randolph Air Force Base. The CIA must search for, locate and provide these photographs. In addition, pursuant to Exemption 6, the CIA has deleted the name of the person who took the crash scene photograph. Those who take crash scene photographs are normally identified in news stories, an indication of the public interest in such disclosures and the lack of any expectation of privacy. In this case, the photographer is likely to be a government official, so there would be an even more diminished expectation of privacy on his or her part.

43. Attachment 48 is C00474229. This document regards the reported sighting of American prisoners in Central Laos. Paragraph 1, which is fairly lengthy, is entirely withheld under Exemptions 1 and 3. The second numbered paragraph is almost entirely released. Given the fact that both paragraphs appear to relate to the same subject, this treatment seems to

be inconsistent; additionally, the deletion of the first paragraph in its entirety raises questions about segregability.

44. Attachment 49 is C00474147. The second page of this document has no exemption claim but is entirely deleted except for a handwritten notation "CIA Internal Organization."

45. Attachment 50 is C00465780. It contains a list of 58 POWs whose names have been redacted. There is no expectation of privacy with respect to these names. First, the names of POWs are commonly publicized in newspapers. If not published in prominent national papers, they will be published in their local hometown or base newspapers. The CIA has claimed Exemptions 1, 3, and 6 for the redaction of these names. As it does repeatedly throughout the documents that have been provided me, it simply stamps these claims in the upper right-hand corner of the document, making it appear that these are coextensive claims to all the material that has been redacted. But the assertion of Exemptions 1 and 3 to these names would be even more preposterous than the assertion of Exemption 6. It is totally without foundation, and the CIA clearly has violated its obligation to identify which portions are exempted pursuant to which exemption claims. Additionally, the CIA does not indicate that it has checked these names against the PNOK list of approximately 1,700 names which I have provided.

46. Attachment 51 is C00494290. It redacts information pertaining to the "Cite" and "Serial." The "Cite" information customarily refers to a country and the "serial" is a number indicating a document's location. Neither qualifies as an intelligence source or method. Both are essential to help FOIA requester locate relevant materials. On page 4, numbered paragraphs 4 and 5 withhold information pertaining to "comments" that were made. A deleted sentence in Paragraph 4 relates to a "story told matter of factly; there was no aim of secrecy." There is no reason to believe that the withheld "story" relates to any protected intelligence method. There is no exemption claim asserted for the withheld information on this page, and the only "secret" marking is crossed out. Except for the word "comment," Paragraph 5 is withheld in its entirety, in contrast to Paragraph 4, which does have segregable material released.

47. Attachment 52 is C00493258. This deletes again the "Cite" and "Serial" information as in Attachment 51. Again, the comment in numbered paragraph 2 is entirely redacted. Once again, the withholding of information has been concealed on this page. In the upper right-hand corner some kind of label has been placed over at least some of the content on the page without any exemption claim being asserted.

48. Attachment 53 is C00493228. Much of numbered paragraph 1 is redacted without any indication that it has been excised and without any claim of exemption for that part of the withheld material. This document reports on a refugee who was given a camera and a substantial sum of money to travel overland to Vietnam to take photographs of POWs. The CIA has not indicated a search for any other documents related to this, including any photographs taken, nor has it provided such materials.

49. Attachment 54 is C00493325. The first page of this document is obviously missing, as the content of the document begins with numbered paragraph 2. The document also does not provide information after "Reference" except to indicate that it refers to a "CIA Hdqs" file and/or document. There is no justification for withholding this elementary information. At the end of numbered paragraph 2, this document states: "Descriptive details provided to date by [redacted] may match missing U.S. personnel described by [redacted] as in a stay behind status." This refers to documents which the CIA has not indicated it has either searched for or provided. The term "stay behind status" is also a term which the CIA should employ in searching for POS/MIAs. Paragraph 3 directs a redacted CIA unit to "develop expeditious means to identify and photograph this Caucasian individual." This indicates the intent to create additional information,

including photographs, which the CIA has not indicated it has searched for or located. The end of paragraph 3 mentions "another unidentified individual, reportedly a Caucasian pedal cycle rider, [who] was reported to live off the other end of Long Bien Bridge." Again, there is no indication that the CIA has conducted any search for, or located, any records pertaining to this reference.

50. Attachment 55 is C00494313. This document again deletes the serial reference. While the "comment" in numbered paragraph 2 is almost entirely released, the "comment" in numbered paragraph 3 is entirely withheld.

51. Attachment 56 is C00495030. Numbered Paragraph 1 has a substantial deletion. The information before and after the redaction suggests that in addition to naming a source who provided information and a governmental unit or units that were not formally passing it on, this is withheld information which may not identify either and thus is segregable nonexempt information. Paragraph 4 contains several lines of blank space which have been whited out without any indication that the material is being withheld pursuant to an exemption claim. Moreover, no exemption claims are asserted for the one block at the bottom of page two that is obviously being withheld.

52. Attachment 57 is C00492493 which relates to the testimony of William J. Graver, who had been station chief in Laos at the time of the Vietnam War. Nearly four complete pages of information have been deleted from this document without any indication of what exemption is being claimed. Consequently, it should be entirely released. Obviously this massive withholding of information that is more than 35 years old raises questions about whether or not there are segregable nonexempt portions.

53. Attachment 58 is CO0465306. It contains a list on pages 1 and 2 of United States military personnel who were identified as alive in North Vietnamese POW camps in July 1966; the names are all deleted. This is inconsistent with Attachment 59 (C00028081), which contains two lists of POWs, discloses their names, rank, serial number and branch of service, and Attachment 60 (C0003671) lists forty POW/MIAs who were captured or missing in Asia. Attachment 61 (C00037481) is a July 1968 dispatch which discloses the names of 24 POWs in Laos. Attachment 62 (C00112965) is a 1967 newspaper clipping which reports that 24 officers have been shifted to captured status.

54. Attachment 63 is C00478651. The first page is missing, the distribution list is missing, and the document is heavily redacted.

55. Attachment 64 is C00478672. It refers to a report on page two which it says is attached, but it is missing.

56. Attachment 65 is C00478824 is too heavily redacted. No agency, no distribution list.

57. Attachment 66 is C00478882 is page nine of the document. Only this page has been provided. There is no information provided as to date, originating agency, etc.

58. Attachment 67 is C00480204. This document unjustifiably deletes the names of three countries listed as having been the subject of changes made by the DDCI regarding foreign intelligence. Since anyone with basic knowledge of Southeast Asia could identify these countries, it makes no sense to withhold their identities. Those countries could no doubt readily identify them, so withholding their identities affords no protection against hostile intelligence entities. This document refers to other relevant records regarding intelligence requirement changes which have not been provided. Paragraph 3 redacts the identity of an "automated data base." This identity is unjustifiably withheld as the withholding defeats my ability to determine whether or not it has been searched for records responsive to my request.


59. Attachment 68 is C00483720. This is a September 28, 1982 routing slip followed by three pages of almost entirely withheld information, the first two of which concern information which dates to 1962. These three pages bear no exemption claim or classification markings. The only classification marking is a crossed-out "Confidential," which gives no indication of having been applied by a proper classification authority. Given the passage of nearly 50 years since the 1962 events involved, the extensiveness of the withholding, the lack of authorized classification markings and the failure to assert exemption claims, a question arises as to whether reasonably segregable nonexempt information has been withheld.

60. Attachment 69 is C00483710. This document references a memorandum on POWs in Cambodia which is said to be attached but has not been provided. This document also indicates its distributions to other governmental entities whose identities have been deleted. A substantial amount of material has been redacted on the third page of this document without any claim of exemption having been made or any classification marking appearing.

61. Attachment 70 is a list of documents released by the CIA which have missing attachments or enclosures which have not been provided. This

is not an exhaustive list. Attachment 71 is a spreadsheet given a breakdown of this information.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 18th day of April, 2011.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Roger Hall". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above a solid horizontal line.

ROGER HALL